

### **REMARKS**

Claims 20, 21, 25 - 33, and 37 - 40 are now pending in the application.

Claims 20, 21, 25 - 33, and 37 - 40 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 3, 5, 6, 8 - 10, and 12 - 15 of U.S. Pat. No. 6,648,105. Applicants have included herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the submissions and remarks contained herein.

### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 14, 2007

By: /Joseph M. Lafata/  
Joseph M. Lafata  
Reg. No. 37,166

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600  
JML/elm